

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,234	10/31/2003	Carter W. McGuyer	33101-2320	3367
31013	7590 07/27/2005		EXAM	INER .
	EVIN NAFTALIS & F	CARTAGENA, MELVIN A		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	,

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ω				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
0.00	10/699,234	MCGUYER, CARTER W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Melvin A. Cartagena	3754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IT THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after-SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TON. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ricon. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of third period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	27 August 2004.					
,—						
closed in accordance with the practice u	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-11 is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the a	· ·	, , , ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some *.c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10312003.	48) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/699,234 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a condiment dispenser, classified in class 222, subclass 519.
- II. Claims 12-20, drawn to a carousel, classified in class 211, subclass 144.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions II and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as a rotating lamp display carousel. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Gregor N. Neff on July 14, 2005 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 12-20.

 Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-12 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/699,234

Art Unit: 3754

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 12-16, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 6,591,993 to Humphrey.

Humphrey shows a rotating carousel as seen in Figs. 1, 3, 6, 7 and 8, having a base structure 14 including a base member 35 and a central projection 31 extending upwardly from the base, the projection being releasable attached to the base by manually releasable structures 37, see Fig. 8, holding structure 20, the projection also including a plurality of vertical struts 31, 32 and 33, see Fig. 7, a plurality of arms 5 interconnecting with rim 13 at a central hub, an upper support module 34 and a bearing structure 17. With respect to the limitation of a condiment dispenser holding structure, the device of Humphrey is capable of holding condiment dispensers in accordance with the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,591,993 to Humphrey in view of US 5,931,356 to Lillelund et al. and US 6,283,339 to Morrow.

Humphrey shows all claimed features as discussed above except for the carousel supporting a pop-up and a rotary pre-measured condiment dispenser. Lillelund shows a pop-up

Application/Control Number: 10/699,234

Art Unit: 3754

dispenser as seen in Figs. 3 and 4, Morrow shows a twist bottom measured dispenser as seen in Figs. 1-4. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the carousel of Humphrey to support condiment dispensers including a pop-up or a twist bottom measured dispenser since it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Page 4

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Endean shows a Footwear storage rack. Morgan rotatable storage device. Robbins shows a condiment dispenser with variable quantity control.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin A. Cartagena whose telephone number is (571) 272-4924.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30AM to 4:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y. Mar can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,234

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MAC 7/22/05

MICHAEL MAR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700 Page 5